0960-894X/95 \$9.50+0.00

Pergamon

0960-894X(95)00251-0

SYNTHESIS AND IN VITRO ANTI-HIV AND ANTITUMOR ACTIVITY OF NEW 2-CHLOROETHYL THIOCARBAMATES

Gilles Gallant*, Romano Salvador and Hélène Dulude, Medicinal Chemistry Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Montreal, Box 6128, Station A, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, H3C 317.

*New address and address for correspondence: Gilles Gallant B.Pharm. Ph.D., Bristol-Myers Squibb, 2365 Côte-de-Liesse, Montréal (Québec), Canada H4N 2M7 (Tel. 514-333-2019, FAX 514-331-8880).

ABSTRACT: A series of new 2-chloroethyl thiocarbamates was synthesized and tested in the *in vitro* US-NCI primary anti-HIV and antitumor drug screens. Compound (3) demonstrated some anti-HIV and antitumor activity. This compound was cytotoxic in 3/6 leukemia cell lines tested but had no activity in 50 other cell lines tested. Compound (3) may serve as lead compound for new potential more specific anticancer agents.

The 2-chloroethylnitrosoureas are among the most active anticancer drugs in many experimental leukemia and solid tumors. 1,2,3 Their clinical activity has been established for a broad spectrum of human malignancies, including lymphomas, melanomas, acute lymphocytic leukemias, multiple myelomas, gliomas and gastrointestinal neoplasms. 2,4,5

Recently, different research groups, including ours, have reported that 2-chloroethylureas, methylureas and propargylureas had anticancer activity thereby showing that the N¹-nitroso group of the nitrosoureas was not essential to the *in vitro* anticancer activity of these compounds (Figure 1).⁶⁻⁸ Moreover, a few of these ureas were shown to have some specificity towards tumor cell lines.⁶

Cl-CH₂CH₂-N¹(R)-CO-N³H-R'

Cl-CH2CH2-N(R)-CO-S-R'

R = H 2-chloroethylureas

R = H 2-chloroethylthiocarbamates

R = NO 2-chloroethylnitrosoureas

R = NO 2-chloroethylnitrosothiocarbamates

R' = Transporting moiety

Figure 1

The goal of this exploratory study was to investigate the effect on antitumor activity and specificity of a bioisosteric replacement of the amino N³ group of the ureas. In this work, we have produced 2-chloroethyl thiocarbamates derivatives and we have evaluated the biological activity of these compounds. We report here the synthesis and the *in vitro* anti-HIV and antitumor activity of a series of new 2-chloroethyl thiocarbamates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS - The compounds illustrated in Figure 2 were synthesized in the Medicinal Chemistry laboratories of the Faculty of Pharmacy of the University of Montreal. Elemental analyses were performed by the Guelph Chemical Lab. and are within $\pm 0.4\%$ of theorical values. ¹H NMR spectra were determined on a Varian VXR-300 spectrophotometer using the deuterated solvent (CDCl₃) as internal standard.

C1-CH2CH2-NH-CO-S-CH2CH2-OH

(1)- MCS-798



(2)- MCS-799

CI-CH2CH2-NH-CO-S-CH2-COO-CH2CH3

(3)- MCS-800

Figure 2

General procedure for the synthesis of the thiocarbamates (Figure 3): To a cooled (0-5 °C) solution of the sulfydryl derivative (0.1 mol) in 100 mL of anhydrous ether was slowly added 0.1 mol (10.55 g) of 2-chloroethyl isocyanate. The solution was stirred for 8 hours on an ice bath. The solution was then concentrated in vacuo over a warm bath.

Figure 3

- (1)-1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-(2-chloroethyl) thiocarbamate (MCS-798; NSC-641086): Yield: 17.31 g (94%) colorless liquid, stench!; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.15 (1H, m, NH), 4.22 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.62 (2H, t, CH₂), 3.52 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.74 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.78 (1H, s, OH); Anal. C₅H₁₀ClNO₂S (C, H, N).
- (2)- 1-phenyl-3-(2-chloroethyl) thiocarbamate (MCS-799) [4930-24-9]: Yield: 19.84 g (92%) colorless liquid, stench!; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.23 (5H, m, phenyl), 3.63 (4H, m, CH₂CH₂), 1.22 (1H, t, NH); C₉H₁₀CINOS (C, H, N).
- (3)- Ethyl 2-[3-(2-chloroethyl) thiocarbamate]acetate (MCS-800; NSC-641087): Yield: 19.86 g (88%) colorless liquid, stench!; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 5.15 (1H, m, NH), 4.22 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.62 (2H, t, CH₂), 3.52 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.74 (2H, m, CH₂), 1.78 (1H, s, OH); $C_7H_{12}CINO_3S$ (C, H, N).

Anti-HIV drug screen and Antitumor drug screen: The compounds were tested by the US-NCI as previously described. 6,9

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - Figure 3 illustrates the pathways used for the synthesis of the thiocarbamates. The reaction between the sulfydryl derivatives and 2-chloroethyl isocyanate produced the 2-chloroethyl thiocarbamates (1-3) in very good yields.

The determination of the anti-HIV and antiproliferative activities of compounds (1-3) were performed according to a published method by the US-NCI.¹⁰ All the compound tested showed only very weak *in vitro* anti-HIV activity and only compound (3) showed weak antiproliferative activity in the non-infected T4 lymphocyte cell line (CEM-IW) tested (data not shown).

These results can be compared to a series of methyl- and propargylureas that we have previoulsy published. Although the carrier groups of the methyl- and propargylureas were different (i.e., amino acids), these compounds had more anti-HIV and significantly more antiproliferative activity in the same *in vitro* anti-HIV model. Hence, the bioisosteric replacement of the amino N³ group seems to reduced the anti-HIV and antiproliferative activity in this model.

The compounds were also tested in the US-NCI primary antitumor drug screen. ¹¹⁻¹³ Based on a disease oriented strategy, the screen currently incorporates 60 human cell lines representing lung cancers, colon cancers, leukemias, ovarian cancers, melanomas, brain tumors, and renal cancers. ^{11,13} The hypothesis proposed in this screening is that exploitable mechanisms of histiospecific drug cytotoxicity exist and that such differences can be detected in cell lines adapted to long-term tissue culture. ^{11,12} This approach could lead to the discovery of new drugs to treat the major cancers.

The results of the *in vitro* anticancer activity in leukemia cell lines are shown in Table 1. Compound (3) was cytotoxic in 3/6 leukemia cell lines tested. The level of activity of compound (3) is comparable to other nitrosoureas (i.e. BCNU, CCNU, MeCCNU) tested in the same conditions (data not shown). However, all

	(1) - MCS-798	(2) - MCS-799	(3) - MCS-800
CCRF-CEM	> 1 X 10 ⁻⁴ *	N.A.	7.22 X 10 ⁻⁶
HL-60 (TB)	> 1 X 10 ⁻⁴	N.A.	2.23 x 10 ⁻⁵
K-562	> 1 X 10 ⁻⁴	N.A.	2.90 X 10 ⁻⁵
MOLT-4	> 1 X 10 ⁻⁴	N.A.	> 1 X 10 ⁻⁴
RPMI-8226	> 1 X 10⁴	N.A.	> 1 X 10 ⁻⁴
SR	> 1 X 10 ⁻⁴	N.A.	> 1 X 10-4

Table 1 - In vitro anticancer activity expressed as GI₅₀ (M) in 6 leukemia cell lines.

^{*} This value represent the maximum concentration used in this assay. The GI₅₀ value was not reached at that concentration.

compounds tested were inactive in the 11 NSCLC, 2 SCLC, 9 colon cancers, 8 CNS cancers, 9 melanoma, 6 ovarian cancers, and 9 renal cancers cell lines.

Compound's (3) specific cytotoxicity against selected leukemia cell lines as compared to its lack of cytotoxicity in all other cell lines tested is noteworthy. This selectivity should be further explored and compound (3) should serve as lead compound for new potential more specific anticancer agents.

CONCLUSION - In this exploratory study, we synthesized and tested the *in vitro* anti-HIV and anticancer activity of a series of new 2-chloroethyl thiocarbamates derivatives. We have shown that these compounds are devoided of *in vitro* anti-HIV activity and have only weak antiproliferative activity against a non-infected T4 lymphocyte cell line. In the *in vitro* anticancer model, compound (3) was cytotoxic against 3/6 leukemia cell lines. However, it was not cytotoxic in the 54 other cell lines tested. This cell line specificity should be further explore. Compound (3) may serve as lead compound for new potential anticancer agents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT This work was supported in part by the Cancer Research Society Inc. The *in vitro* anti-HIV and anticancer screening was performed as part of the Developmental Therapeutics Program of the National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Schabel, F.M. Cancer Treat. Rep. 1976, 60, 665.
- 2. Mitchell, E.P.; Schein, P.S. Cancer Treat. Rep. 1986, 70, 31.
- 3. Johnston, T.P.; Montgomery, J.A. Cancer Treat. Rep. 1986, 70, 13.
- 4. Wasserman, T.H.; Slavik, M.; Carter, S.K. Cancer Treat, Rev. 1974, 1, 131.
- 5. Wasserman, T.H.; Slavik, M.; Carter, S.K. Cancer Treat. Rev. 1974, 1, 251.
- 6. Gallant, G.; Salvador, R.; Dulude, H. Anticancer Res. 1993, 13, 133.
- 7. Gaudreault, R.C.; Lacroix, J.; Page, M.; Joly, L.P. J. Pharm. Sci. 1988, 77, 185.
- 8. Lacroix, J.; Gaudreault, R.C.; Page, M.; Joly, L.P. Anticancer Res. 1988, 8, 595.
- 9. Gallant, G.; Salvador, R.; Dulude, H. Antiviral Chem. Chemother. 1991, 2, 313.
- Weislow, O.W.; Kiser, R.; Fine, D.; Bader, J.; Shoemaker, R.H.; Boyd, M.R. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1989, 81, 577.
- 11. Boyd, M.R. P.P.O. Update 1989, 3, 1.
- 12. Johnson, R.K. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 1990, 82 1082.
- 13. Chabner, B.A. J Natl. Cancer Inst. 1990, 82, 1083.

(Received in USA 31 October 1994; accepted 2 June 1995)